### **Dissertation Defense**

## **Limit Theory for the Domination Number of Random Class Cover Catch Digraphs**

Pengfei Xiang

xiang@jhu.edu

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics

The Johns Hopkins University

- Abstract mathematical model:
	- $\ \ \Diamond \ \ (\Omega,X,Y).$
	- $\diamond$  Random data:  $\bigl(c(\Psi),\Psi\bigr)$  with the class label part  $c(\Psi) \in \{X, Y\}$  and the data part  $\Psi \in \Omega$ .
	- $\diamond$  Prior probabilities:  $P_X, P_Y.$ Class-conditional distribution functions:  $F_X, F_Y.$
- Classifier:
	- $\diamond~$  For an observation  $\big(c(\psi), \psi\big)$ , given the data part  $\psi$ , guess the unknown class label part  $c(\psi)$ .

Consider two sequences of i.i.d. random variables:

$$
X_i \sim F_X, i = 1, \cdots, n,
$$
  

$$
Y_j \sim F_Y, j = 1, \cdots, m.
$$

- Covering ball: For  $X_i$ , define its covering ball as  $B(X_i) \equiv \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : d(X_i, \omega) < \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} d(X_i, Y_j) \right\}.$
- Class cover: A subset of covering balls whose union contains all  $X_i$ 's.
- Class cover problem: Find <sup>a</sup> minimum cardinality class cover.
- Definition: The CCCD induced by <sup>a</sup> CCP is the digraph  $D = (V, A)$  with the vertex set  $V = \{X_i, i =$  $1, \dots, n$  and the edge set A such that  $(X_i, X_j) \in A$ iff  $X_j\in B(X_i).$
- Dominating set: The set  $S\subset V$  is a dominating set of a digraph  $D=(V,A)$  iff for all  $v\in V$ , either  $v\in S,$ or  $(s, v) \in A$  for some  $s \in S$ .
- The CCP is equivalent to finding <sup>a</sup> minimum dominating set of the induced CCCD.
- CCCD and CCP in high dimensions are NP-Hard.

## **Construction of a CCCD**



Figure 1: An illustration of the construction of <sup>a</sup> CCCD

- Definition: The domination number of a CCCD is the cardinality of the CCCD's minimum dominating set.
- Notation: letting  $\mathcal{X}\equiv\{X_1,\cdots,X_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}\equiv\{Y_1,$  $\cdots, Y_m\}$ , we denote the domination number by  $\Gamma_{n,m}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ , or simply by  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ .
- Research direction: The probabilistic limiting behavior of  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ .

For the special case of  $\Omega = {\bf R}$  and  $F_X = F_Y = U[0,1],$ 

- $\bullet\,$  Denote  $Y_{(j)}$  as the  $j$ th order statistic of  $Y_1,\cdots,Y_m,$ and define  $Y_{(0)}\equiv 0, Y_{(m+1)}\equiv 1$ .
- Let random variable  $N_{j,m}$  be the number of X-points between  $Y_{(i)}$  and  $Y_{(i+1)}$ , and  $\alpha_{j,m}$  be the minimum number of covering balls needed to cover these  $N_{j,m}$   $X$ -points.

• 
$$
\Gamma_{n,m} = \sum_{j=0}^m \alpha_{j,m}.
$$

Under the above assumptions, Priebe, Devinney and Marchette find the conditional distribution of  $\alpha_{j,m}$  given  $N_{i,m}$ . Furthermore, Devinney and Wierman prove the following strong law of large numbers (SLLN) for  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ :

**Theorem 1.** If  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}, F_X = F_Y = U[0,1]$ , and  $m\equiv m(n)=\lfloor rn\rfloor,$   $r\in (0,\infty),$  then

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{n,m}}{n} = g(r) \equiv \frac{r(12r+13)}{3(r+1)(4r+3)} \quad a.s.
$$

# **SLLN in One Dimension with General Densities**

In this dissertation, we have proved the SLLN in one dimension for the more general case:

**Theorem 2.** If  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  are bounded and continuous density functions, and  $m/n \rightarrow r$ ,  $r \in (0,\infty)$ , then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma_{n,m}}{n} = \int g\left(r \cdot \frac{f_Y(u)}{f_X(u)}\right) \cdot f_X(u) du \qquad a.s.
$$

where'e  $g(r)\equiv \frac{r(12r+13)}{3(r+1)(4r+3)}$  (same as in the SLLN for uniform densities ).

Proof sketch:

- Extend the result for uniform density functions to piece-wise constant densities.
- Construct piece-wise constant approximation to the bounded continuous function case.

## **Proof of the SLLN(2)**



Figure 2: Illustration of the proof of the SLLN

**Corollary 1.** Under the same conditions as in the SLLN, we have

$$
\int g\left(r \cdot \frac{f_Y(u)}{f_X(u)}\right) \cdot f_X(u) du \le g(r)
$$

with equality holding iff  $f_X=f_Y\quad a.e.$ 

**Applications** Build some statistical test for equality of the distributions.

# **Variance of the Domination Number in One Dimension**

Since  $\Gamma_{n,m}=\sum \alpha_{j,m},$  we only need to calculate the variances and covariances of the components: **Theorem 3.** If  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}, F_X = F_Y = U[0,1]$  and  $m/n \to r$ ,  $r\in(0,\infty)$ , then  $V \$  $Var(\alpha_{j,m}) = \frac{144r^3 + 360r^2 + 237r + 20}{9(r+1)^2(4r+3)^2} + o(1),$  $Cov(\alpha_{j_1,m},\alpha_{j_2,m})\!=\!\tfrac{-r^2(2304r^4+9984r^3+16096r^2+11440r+3025)}{9(r+1)^3(4r+3)^4}\cdot\tfrac{1}{m}+o\!\left(\tfrac{1}{m}\right).$ Hence,

$$
\frac{Var(\Gamma_{n,m})}{m} \to v(r) \equiv \frac{1536r^5 + 6848r^4 + 11536r^3 + 8836r^2 + 2793r + 180}{9(r+1)^3(4r+3)^4}.
$$

The calculation is very technical (taking about 40 pages in the dissertation). It's essentially done in two steps:

- first, we get the conditional expectations  $E(\alpha_{i,m}^k | N_{j,m}), k = 1, 2$ , using the conditional probability of  $\alpha_{i,m}$  given  $N_{i,m}$ ;
- $\bullet\,$  then we compute  $E(\alpha_{j,m}^k), k=1,2,$  using  $N_{j,m}$ 's distribution. Note that given  $L_{j,m} = l_{j,m}, j=0,$  $\cdots, m,$  the random vector  $\{N_{j,m}: j=0,\cdots,m\}$  is multinomially distributed with parameters  ${n, l_{i,m} : j = 0, \cdots, m}$ , where the distribution of  $L_{i,m}$ can be easily calculated.

# **Verification of the Limiting Variance Formula**



# **Central Limit Theorem (CLT) in One Dimension**

**Theorem 4.** If  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}, F_X = F_Y = U[0,1]$ , and  $m/n \to r$ ,  $r\in(0,\infty)$ , then

$$
\frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \left(\Gamma_{n,m} - E[\Gamma_{n,m}]\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2)
$$
\nwhere  $\sigma^2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{Var[\Gamma_{n,m}]}{m}.$ 

- Issue: Recall  $\Gamma_{n,m} = \sum$  $m \$  $j=0$  $\alpha_{j,m}.$  Note that  $\alpha_{j,m}$  solely depends on  $N_{i,m}$ , but  $N_{i,m}$ 's are dependent on each other. In fact,  $N_{j,m}$ 's are *negatively* associated.
- Solution: Project  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  onto a conditional probability space where all the components  $\alpha_{i,m}$ 's become independent of each other, then apply the SLLN and CLT for negatively associated random variables.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

Define  $\mathcal{F}_m$  as the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $N_{j,m}, j=0,\cdots,$  $m_{\scriptscriptstyle{\bullet}}$ . Let  $Z_{j,m} = \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \big( \alpha_{j,m} - E[\alpha_{j,m}] \big)$ . Then define the conditional characteristic function  $f_m(t)$  as follows:

$$
f_m(t) = E\left[e^{it\sum_{j=0}^m Z_{j,m}} | \mathcal{F}_m\right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{j=0}^m E\left[e^{itZ_{j,m}} | \mathcal{F}_m\right],
$$

where the last step holds because  $Z_{j,m}$ 's are independent given  $\mathcal{F}_m$ .

### Applying the Taylor expansion yields

$$
f_m(t) \approx \prod_{j=0}^m \left( 1 + itE[Z_{j,m} \mid N_{j,m}] - \frac{t^2}{2} E[Z_{j,m}^2 \mid N_{j,m}] \right),
$$

#### hence

$$
log(f_m(t)) \approx it \sum_{j=0}^{m} E[Z_{j,m} | N_{j,m}] - \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} Var[Z_{j,m} | N_{j,m}],
$$

#### thus

$$
E\left[e^{it\sum_{j=0}^{m}Z_{j,m}}\right] = E\left[f_m(t)\right]
$$
  

$$
\approx E\left[e^{it\sum_{j=0}^{m}E[Z_{j,m}|N_{j,m}]}\right] \cdot E\left[e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{m}Var[Z_{j,m}|N_{j,m}]}\right]
$$
  

$$
\rightarrow e^{-\frac{t^2\sigma_1^2}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{t^2\sigma_2^2}{2}} = e^{-\frac{t^2\sigma_2^2}{2}}.
$$

# **Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN) in 2 Dimensions**

The CCCD problem becomes much more challenging in higher dimensions. Applying the SLLN for subadditive processes, we have proved the following WLLN in 2 dimensions.

**Theorem 5.** If the densities  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  are positive, bounded and continuous on  $[0,1]^2$ , and  $m/n\to r,$   $r\in (0,\infty)$ , then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma'_{n,m}}{n} = \iint g\left(r \cdot \frac{f_Y(u,v)}{f_X(u,v)}\right) \cdot f_X(u,v) du dv \quad \text{in probability.}
$$

<span id="page-19-0"></span> $\leftarrow$  [previous](#page-16-0) slide

## **Proof Sketch of the WLLN in 2 Dimensions**

The proof is done in three steps:

- 1. apply the SLLN for subadditive processes to prove the SLLN for the domination number in the Poisson case;
- 2. use the result in the Poisson case to prove the WLLN for the domination number in  $[0,1]^2$  with uniform densities;
- 3. extend the result above to the case with general densities.

# **Definition of 2-dimensional**

### **Subadditive Processes**

Let  $\{X_{s,t}: 0 \le s < t, s,t \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$  be a collection of random variables. Then  $\{X_{s,t}\}$  is called a 2-dimensional subadditive process if it satisfies

- Subadditivity: For disjoint squares  $I_i = \{u : a_i \le u < b_i, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^2\},$ if  $I=\cup_{i=1}^n I_i$  is also a square, then  $X_I\leq \sum_{i=1}^n X_{I_i}$ .
- Stationarity: The joint distributions of  $\{X_{I_1+u},\cdots,X_{I_n+u}\}$  is the same as that of  $\{X_{I_1}, \cdots, X_{I_n}\}$ , where  $u \in \mathbf{R}^2.$
- Expectation Condition:  $\gamma(X) \equiv \inf_I \left\{ \frac{E[X_I]}{|I|} : I = [a_i, b_i], a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\} > -\infty.$

## **Illustration of 2-dimensional**

### **Subadditive Processes**



Figure 4: Subadditivity:  $X_{\cup_{i=1}^{n} I_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{I_i}$ 

# **SLLN for Multidimensional Subadditive Processes**

The above definition can be easily generalized to the multidimensional case. Akcoglu and Krengel proved that

**Theorem 6.** If  $\{X_{s,t}\}$  is a multidimensional subadditive process, then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_{J_n}}{|J_n|} = \zeta \quad a.s.
$$

and  $E[\zeta] = \gamma(X)$ , where  $J_n = [\vec{0}, n \vec{e})$  with  $\vec{0} = (0, \cdots, 0)$  and  $\rightarrow$  $\vec{e} = (1, \cdots, 1).$ Note: if  $\{X_{s,t}\}\)$  is independent, then  $\zeta = \gamma(X)\ a.s.$ 

# **Subadditivity of the Domination Number in 2 dimensions (1)**

Suppose X and Y are Poisson process points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\Gamma_I$  denote the domination number generated by these  $X$  and  $Y$  points in any rectangles  $I\subset {\bf R}^2.$ 

• Issue:  $\{\Gamma_I\}$  is \*not\* a subadditive process.



Figure 5: Non-subadditivity of  $\{\Gamma_I\}$ 

# **Subadditivity of the Domination Number in 2 dimensions (2)**

- Idea: Find <sup>a</sup> subadditive process that approximates  $\{\Gamma_I\}$ .
- Solution: Restrain the covering balls in  $I$ , and refer to corresponding domination number as constrained domination number, denoted by  $\bar{\Gamma}$  $\mathbf{1}$   $I$  . Then  $\{ \bar{\Gamma}$  $_I\}$  is subadditive.

Since  $\{\bar{\Gamma}% (\overline{\Gamma})\}_{(\Gamma(\overline{\Gamma})\backslash\{0\}}$  $_I\}$  is a multidimensional subadditive process, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_{J_n}}{|J_n|} = \zeta \quad a.s. \quad \text{with } E[\zeta] = \gamma(\Gamma).
$$

Then we generalize this result to the SLLN for the original domination number  $\Gamma_{J_n}.$ 

Next, we transfer the result in the Poisson case to  $[0, 1]^2$ .

- Conditioning on the  $(n + 1)$ th arrival of X-points, suppose there are  $n$  X-points and  $m_n$  Y-points uniformly distributed in  $J_{t(n)}$ .
- But we need  $m$   $Y$ -points for the desired result in  $[0, 1]^2$ .
- So we uniformly add  $m m_n$  or delete  $m_n m$  $Y$ -points.
- We argue that the effect of adding or deleting  $|m - m(n)|$  Y points is negligible, so the WLLN holds in  $[0,1]^2$  with uniform densities.
- We basically follow the same idea as in one dimension to extend the WLLN with uniformdensities to general densities.
- But the detailed proof is much more complicated, since adding or deleting a  $X$  or  $Y$  point no longer only changes the domination number by at most 2 as in one dimension.

We ha ve used Monte Carlo simulations to chec k thelimit theorems obtained in this dissertation, and also empirically verified some limit theorems that are not pro ved but are likely to be true, such as the CLT in tw o dimensions.

- CLT in 2 or higher dimensions.
- Other properties of CCCDs, such as the edge density.